# Computational Study of the Stone–Wales Transformation in C<sub>36</sub>

# Ying-fu Jin and Ce Hao\*

Physical Chemistry Division, Department of Chemistry, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian, 116024, People's Republic of China

Received: July 13, 2004; In Final Form: January 4, 2005

The transition of the  $D_{6h}$  neutral and charged isomers to  $D_{2d}$  isomers of  $C_{36}$  via Stone–Wales transformation has been studied by means of the hybrid density functional method (B3LYP). The results show that the transition state (TS) and reaction pathway could be identified for the rearrangement from  $C_{36}$ - $D_{6h}$  to  $C_{36}$ - $D_{2d}$ on the potential energy surface. We found that the neutral and charged transition states all have  $C_2$  molecular point group symmetry with the two migrating carbon atoms remaining close to the fullerene surface. The other kind of possible TS with a carbene-like structure along the stepwise reaction path does not exist as a stationary point with the density functionals utilized here. The classical barriers are 6.23 eV through the neutral TS, 6.37 eV through the anionic TS, and 6.29 eV through the cationic TS at the B3LYP/6-31G level of theory.

#### 1. Introduction

Since the discovery<sup>1</sup> and macroscopic scale synthesis<sup>2</sup> of C<sub>60</sub>, the fullerenes have attracted extensive scientific interest because of their novel and unusual physical and chemical properties. Most researchers have focused mainly on C<sub>60</sub>, C<sub>70</sub>, and some larger fullerenes. The bulk synthesis of C<sub>36</sub> suggests that this situation may be about to change. Piskoti et al.<sup>3</sup> synthesized C<sub>36</sub> crystal by the arc-discharge method, which is the first time that a fullerene smaller than C<sub>60</sub> has been produced on a large scale. The C<sub>36</sub> molecule is far more chemically reactive than C<sub>60</sub>. This fullerene and its derivatives are believed to make a class of promising materials with new structural and electronic properties, such as covalent bonding, high reactivity, significant steric strain, low gap, high strength, and superconductivity.<sup>4-6</sup> So, scientific interest in the C<sub>36</sub> fullerene has been growing very rapidly.

Piskoti et al.<sup>3</sup> reported a solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) measurement on a C<sub>36</sub> solid and suggested that the  $C_{36}$  molecule has  $D_{6h}$  symmetry. However, on the theoretical side, Grossman et al.<sup>7</sup> investigated six likely isomers of the  $C_{36}$ molecule using density functional theory (DFT) with both local density and generalized gradient approximations (LDA and GGA, respectively). They predicted the  $D_{6h}$  and  $D_{2d}$  isomers to be the most favorable structures in energy and to be essentially isoenergetic. Varganov et al.8 concluded that according to the PM3, HF, and B3LYP methods, the  $D_{2d}$  isomer is always more stable than the  $D_{6h}$  isomer in the singlet state. In contrast, however, the relative energies calculated with MRMP2 indicated that the  $D_{6h}$  singlet is 183 kJ/mol lower in energy than the  $D_{2d}$ singlet. Yuan et al.<sup>9</sup> presented an explanation of the experimental fact that the  $C_{36}$  molecule with  $D_{6h}$  symmetry is found in the soot of the welding process. In the arc-discharge process, the carbon atoms and ions first form C<sub>36</sub> anions and then the anions are aggregated to form the solid by losing extra electrons. The  $C_{36}$ - $D_{6h}$  anion is about 0.2 eV in energy lower than the  $C_{36}$ - $D_{2d}$ anion using the DFT method.

In our work, during the optimization of the two isomers of  $C_{36}$ ,  $D_{6h}$  and  $D_{2d}$  (shown in Figure 1), we found that the two





**Figure 1.** Geometries of  $C_{36}$ : (a) the  $D_{6h}$  isomer; (b) the  $D_{2d}$  isomer.



Figure 2. Stone–Wales transformation in fullerene interchange pentagons and hexagons.

isomers' structures can be transformed via the Stone-Wales transformation. The discovery of this transformation dates back to 1986, when Stone and Wales pointed out that there are many stable C60 isomers which do not follow the well-known isolated pentagon rule and which are related to the  $I_h$  form, buckminsterfullerene, involving transformations by the rotation of two carbon atoms around the center of the bond connecting them.<sup>10</sup> The rotation of a C<sub>2</sub> unit by 90° is known in fullerene literature as the Stone-Wales transformation,11 which enables a pair of hexagons and pair of pentagons to switch places (Figure 2). Holger et al.,<sup>12</sup> applying PBE and B3LYP to study the Stone-Wales transformation of  $C_{60}$ - $I_h$  symmetry, found it to yield a  $C_{60}$  isomer of  $C_{2v}$  symmetry. They found two different transition states and reaction pathways, with the classical barriers of both mechanisms being essentially identical, 6.9 eV using PBE and 7.3 eV with B3LYP. That result led us to pose the following questions: Since the  $C_{36}$  molecule in the solid is of  $D_{6h}$ symmetry, why can the neutral and charged  $D_{6h}$  isomers not be transformed to the  $D_{2d}$  structure through a Stone-Wales transformation while in an ambient condition? And how high are the barriers preventing this transformation?

TABLE 1: Energy Differences (eV) for the  $C_{36}$  Neutral and Charged Isomers As Computed at Various Levels of Theory Relative to the Neutral  $D_{6h}$  Isomer<sup>*a*</sup>

		energy difference (in eV)					
		neutral	anionic		cationic		
theory	$D_{6h}$	$D_{2d}$	$D_{6h}$	$D_{2d}$	$D_{6h}$	$D_{2d}$	
B3LYP/3-21G B3LYP/6-31G B3LYP/6-311G*//B3LYP/6-31G	0.00 0.00 0.00	-0.23 (-0.21) -0.24 (-0.23) -0.11 (-0.10)	-3.15 (-3.24) -3.00 (-3.09) -3.16 (-3.25)	-2.88 (-2.99) -2.79 (-2.89) -2.88 (-2.98)	7.05 (7.02) 6.85 (6.81) 6.95 (6.91)	6.73 (6.74) 6.49 (6.49) 6.65 (6.65)	

<sup>a</sup> Zero-point vibrational energies (ZPVE) were obtained with the 6-31G basis set, and the ZPVE corrected data are given in parentheses.

In this paper, we perform a comprehensive study of both the neutral and charged  $C_{36}$  molecules with the two most likely geometries,  $D_{6h}$  and  $D_{2d}$  (shown in Figure 1), and the neutral and charged transition states connecting the two isomers in the hope of clarifying the above questions.

## 2. Computational Details

We employed the semiempirical AM1<sup>13</sup> level of theory, as well as Becke's<sup>14</sup> three-parameter hybrid functional as implemented in the Gaussian<sup>15</sup> program in conjunction with the correlation functional of Lee, Yang, and Parr (B3LYP).16 Except for the minimal basis set AM1, the basis sets employed were the standard 3-21G,17 6-31G,18 and 6-311G\* 19 basis sets. First, full geometry optimization was carried out at the semiempirical AM1 level of theory without any symmetry restriction. Then the AM1 optimized geometry was used as an initial guess for a B3LYP/3-21G and 6-31G geometry optimization in the corresponding symmetry group. The harmonic vibrational frequencies were calculated to ensure true stationary points had been found. Intrinsic reaction coordinates (IRCs) were computed at B3LYP/3-21G for transition structures to establish the connectivity of minima and saddle point. Energies were refined by single point computations at the B3LYP/6-311G\* level of theory employing the B3LYP/6-31G geometries (B3LYP/6-311G\*//B3LYP/6-31G). Corrections for zero-point vibrational energies (ZPVE) were computed with the 6-31G basis set, and corrected energy differences are given in parentheses in Tables 1 and 2.

#### 3. Results and Discussion

A. Energies, Geometries, and Vibrational Frequencies of Two Molecular Isomers. Many calculations on the C<sub>36</sub> fullerene have been published since its first observation in 1998.<sup>6</sup> The bond lengths and the infrared-active vibrational frequencies of the neutral  $D_{6h}$  and  $D_{2d}$  isomers were investigated in our work. Our B3LYP data are in agreement with previous investigations using this hybrid functional.<sup>9,10,20,21</sup>As can be seen from Table 1, the neutral  $D_{6h}$  isomer is 0.10-0.24 eV higher in energy than the neutral  $D_{2d}$  one, and the cationic  $D_{6h}$  isomer is 0.26-0.36eV higher in energy than the cationic  $D_{2d}$  one as well. Whereas the anionic  $D_{6h}$  isomer is more stable than the anionic  $D_{2d}$  one, the energy difference between them is as large as 0.28 eV. These energy differences are similar to results obtained previously with the hybrid functional.<sup>9,22</sup>

**B.** Concerted Reaction from  $C_{36}$ - $D_{6h}$  to  $C_{36}$ - $D_{2d}$ . The search for the transition state (TS) of the concerted Stone–Wales transformation resulted in the C<sub>2</sub> symmetric structure. The neutral TS is depicted in Figure 4. Bond lengths were obtained at the B3LYP/3-21G and the B3LYP/6-31G levels of theory, respectively. The distance between the migrating carbon atoms is reduced from 1.445 Å in C<sub>36</sub>- $D_{6h}$  (1.443 Å in C<sub>36</sub>- $D_{2d}$ ) to 1.292 Å in the neutral TS. This bond length is close to the one found in alkynes (e.g., the C–C distance is 1.205 Å in acetylene



Figure 3. The neutral TS for concerted rotation of a  $C_2$  unit is formally a diradical.



**Figure 4.** Structure of the  $C_2$  symmetry neutral TS for concerted Stone–Wales transformation in  $C_{36}$  fullerene. Bond lengths were obtained at the B3LYP/3-21G and B3LYP/6-31G (in curly brackets) levels of theory and are given in Å.

TABLE 2: Barriers (in eV) for the Stone–Wales Transformation from Neutral and Charged  $D_{6h}$  Isomers to Neutral and Charged  $D_{2d}$  Isomers, Respectively, through Transition States (the Neutral TS is shown in Figure 4) As Obtained at Various Levels of Theory<sup>*a*</sup>

method	neutral TS	anionic TS	cationic TS
B3LYP/3-21G	6.32 (6.10)	6.54 (6.38)	6.39 (6.21)
B3LYP/6-311G*//B3LYP/6-31G	6.23 (6.00) 6.15 (5.93)	6.37 (6.21) 6.26 (6.10)	6.29 (6.11) 6.46 (6.26)

<sup>*a*</sup> ZPVE were obtained with the 6-31G basis set, and the ZPVE corrected data are given in parentheses.

at B3LYP/ $6-31G^*$ ), and hence the bond can be characterized as a stretched triple bond. Considering the Lewis structure given in Figure 3, we found that the neutral TS for the concerted Stone–Wales transformation formally is a diradical.

The TS structure in Figure 4 is a first-order stationary point, that is, a true TS, at the UB3LYP/6-31G level of theory with an imaginary harmonic vibrational frequency of 823i cm<sup>-1</sup> (transforming according to the A irreducible representation). The barrier for the concerted rearrangement through the neutral TS is very high with the B3LYP density functional and the largest basis set (Table 2), where a value of 6.15 eV (141.8 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) is obtained. At the same time the barriers through anionic and cationic transition states have been investigated, and they are 6.26 and 6.46 eV, respectively, at the B3LYP/6-311G\*//B3LYP/6-31G level. We note that the computed barriers do not significantly depend on the size of the basis set employed since





**Figure 5.** Plot of the intrinsic reaction coordinate (in  $\text{amu}^{-1/2}$  bohr) versus energy (in kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>, relative to  $C_{36}$ - $D_{2d}$ ) starting from the neutral TS as computed at the B3LYP/3-21G level of theory.

the values differ by at most 0.2 eV between 3-21G, 6-31G, and 6-311G\*. Finally, correcting our barriers for ZPVE effects results in 5.93, 6.10, and 6.26 eV through neutral, anionic, and cationic transition states, respectively (B3LYP/6-311G\*//B3LYP/ 6-31G). The IRC mapped out in both directions for 50 steps (with a step size of 0.1 amu<sup>-1/2</sup> bohr) away from the neutral TS shows that the TS indeed connects  $C_{36}$ - $D_{6h}$  and  $C_{36}$ - $D_{2d}$  without the involvement of any intermediates (Figure 5).

As can be seen from Figure 5, the forward and backward paths both descend steeply toward  $C_{36}$ - $D_{6h}$  and  $C_{36}$ - $D_{2d}$  fullerenes, respectively. In addition, the trends of descending are almost the same. This can be explained by the computational fact that neutral  $C_{36}$ - $D_{6h}$  and  $C_{36}$ - $D_{2d}$  are essentially isoenergetic, and they are both the most stable isomers of the  $C_{36}$  fullerene.

There are two different transition states connecting  $C_{60}$ - $I_h$  and  $C_{60}$ - $C_{2V}$  directly.<sup>12</sup> One has  $C_2$  molecular point group symmetry with the two migrating carbon atoms remaining close to the fullerene surface. The other one has a high-energy carbene-like structure. In our research, all of our attempts to locate a TS with a carbene-like structure along the stepwise pathway for the Stone–Wales transformation turned out to be unsuccessful with the hybrid density functionals employed in this study. This is probably because the tension of the  $C_{36}$  cage is much larger than that of the  $C_{60}$ .

## 4. Summary and Conclusions

We have found the Stone–Wales transformation in neutral and charged  $C_{36}$ - $D_{6h}$  molecules to yield neutral and charged  $C_{36}$ - $D_{2d}$  symmetry isomers and have found a channel for the reaction as well. The channel is the concerted 90° rotation of a C<sub>2</sub> unit with a C<sub>2</sub> symmetry TS in which the two rotating carbon atoms are located close to the fullerene surface. The carbine-like TS which exists in the Stone–Wales transformation from C<sub>60</sub>- $I_h$  to C<sub>60</sub>- $C_{2v}$  could not be found with the hybrid density functionals employed here. Our investigations of the pathway using intrinsic reaction coordinate computations indicate that there are no intermediate steps along the Stone–Wales transformation pathway. The channel is found to have nearly identical energy requirements, 6.23 eV through the neutral TS, 6.37 eV through the anionic TS, and 6.29 eV through the cationic TS at the B3LYP/6-31G level of theory.

Acknowledgment. The authors greatly appreciate very helpful comments on the manuscript by Mr. Scott Murphy. This work was in part supported by the Nature Science Fund of Liaoning Province (No. 20022137).

#### **References and Notes**

Kroto, H. W.; Heath, J. R.; O'Brien, S. S.; Curl, R. F.; Smalley, R. E. *Nature* 1985, *318*, 162.

(2) Krätschmer, W.; Lamb, L. D.; Fostiropoulos, K.; Huffiman, D. R. *Nature* **1990**, *347*, 354.

(3) Piskoti, C.; Yarger, J.; Zettl, A. Nature 1998, 393, 771.

(4) Heath, J. R. Nature 1998, 393, 730.

(5) Fowler, P. W.; Mitchell, D.; Zerbetto, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 3218.

(6) Côte, M.; Grossman, J. C.; Cohen, M. L.; Louie, S. G. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1998, 81, 697.

(7) Grossman, J. C.; Côte, M.; Louie, S. G.; Cohen, M. L. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1998, 284, 344.

(8) Varganov, S. A.; Avramov, P. V.; Ovchinnikov, S. G.; Gordon, M. S. Chem. Phys. Lett. 2002, 362, 380.

(9) Yuan, L.-F.; Yang, J.; Deng, K.; Zhu, Q.-S. J. Phys. Chem. A 2000, 104, 6666.

(10) Stone, A. J.; Wales, D. J. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1986, 128, 501.

(11) Dresselhaus, M. S.; Dresselhaus, G.; Eklund, P. C. Science of Fullerenes and Carbon Nanotubes; Academic Press: San Diego, 1996.

(12) Bettinger, H. F.; Yakobson, B. I.; Scuseria, G. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 5572.

(13) Dewar, M. J. S.; Zoebish, E. G.; Healey, E. F.; Stewart, J. J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1985**, 107, 3902.

(14) Becke, A. D. J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 98, 5648.

(15) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Montgomery, J. A., Jr.; Vreven, T.; Kudin, K. N.; Burant, J. C.; Millam, J. M.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Cossi, M.; Scalmani, G.; Rega, N.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.: Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Klene, M.; Li, X.; Knox, J. E.; Hratchian, H. P.; Cross, J. B.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Strain, M. C.; Farkas, O.; Malick, D. K.; Rabuck, A. D.; Raghavachari, K.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cui, Q.; Baboul, A. G.; Clifford, S.; Cioslowski, J.; Stefanov, B. B.; Liu, G.; Liashenko, A.; Piskorz, P.; Komaromi, I.; Martin, R. L.; Fox, D. J.; Keith, T.; Al-Laham, M. A.; Peng, C. Y.; Nanayakkara, A.; Challacombe, M.; Gill, P. M. W.; Johnson, B.; Chen, W.; Wong, M. W.; Gonzalez, C.; Pople, J. A. *Gaussian 03*, revision B.05; Gaussian, Inc.: Pittsburgh, PA, 2003.

(16) Lee, C.; Yang, W.; Parr, R. G. Phys. Rev. B 1988, 37, 785.

(17) Binkley, J. S.; Pople, J. A.; Hehre, W. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 939.

(18) Hariharan, P. C.; Pople, J. A. *Theor. Chim. Acta* 1973, 28, 213.
(19) Krishnan, R.; Binkley, J. S.; Seeger, R.; Pople, J. A. *J. Chem. Phys.* 1980, 72, 650.

(20) Xu, X.; Shang, Z.; Wang, G.; Cai, Z.; Pan, Y.; Zhao, X. J. Mol. Struct. (THEOCHEM) 2002, 589–590, 265.

(21) Lin, M.; Chiu, Y.-N.; Xiao, J. J. Mol. Struct. (THEOCHEM) 1999, 489, 109.

(22) Fowler, P. W.; Heine, T.; Rogers, K. M.; Sandall, J. P. B.; Seifert, G.; Zerbetto, F. Chem. Phys. Lett. **1999**, 300, 369.